

IT Systems Engineering | Universität Potsdam

WIND PLAN AND ADDRESS

#### Graph Exploration: Taking the User into the Loop

12

Davide Mottin, Anja Jentzsch, Emmanuel Müller Hasso Plattner Institute, Potsdam, Germany

> 2016/10/24 CIKM2016, Indianapolis, US

### Who we are



#### Davide Mottin

- graph mining, novel query paradigms, interactive methods
- https://hpi.de/en/mueller/team/davide-mottin.html



#### Anja Jentzsch

- Linked Open Data, graph exploration, data profiling
- http://hpi.de/naumann/people/anja-jentzsch.html



#### **Emmanuel Müller**

- graph mining, stream mining, clustering and outlier mining on graphs, streams, and traditional databases
  - http://hpi.de/en/mueller/prof-dr-emmanuel-mueller.html

### Big data and novice users







### Data exploration



Efficiently extracting knowledge from data even if we do not know exactly what we are looking for

Idreos et al., Overview of Data Exploration Methods, SIGMOD 2015

## The importance of graphs



#### Lost in the graph?



## **Current: Visualization tools**







#### Several visualization tools:

- General: Gephi, GraphViz, ...
- Biological: Cytoscape, Network Workbench
- Social: EgoNet, NodeXL, ...
- Relational: Tulip

#### but

- No Scalability to large networks!
- No for novice users
- Limited expressivity

## Current: Query languages

#### SELECT ?name ?email WHERE

ł

?person a foaf:Person .
?person foaf:name ?name .
?person foaf:mbox ?email .

#### SPARQL

#### Query languages **ARE**:

- Expressive
- Powerful
- Scalable
- Compact

g.V().hasLabel('movie').as('a','b').
where(inE('rated').count().is(gt(10))).
select('a','b').
by('name').
by(inE('rated').values('stars').mean()).

order().

by(select('b'),decr). limit(10

#### GREMLIN

MATCH (node1:Label1)-->(node2:Label2) WHERE node1.propertyA = {value} RETURN node2.propertyA, node2.propertyB

#### CYPHER

#### but

- Not user friendly
- No guided search
- Not interactive
- Not scalable

### This tutorial is about ...

- Algorithms for helping the user finding the wanted information
- Approximate search on graphs to assist the user in finding the information
- Interactive methods on graphs based on user feedback
- Automatically discovery of portions of graphs using examples

#### NOT about

- Visualization methods for graphs
- Query languages and semantics
- Efficient indexing methods
- Pure machine learning on graphs

### Our graph exploration taxonomy



# Graph exploration taxonomy



## Graph exploration taxonomy



- Space restriction methods
- 3. Graph Reweighting

# Graph exploration taxonomy



# Tutorial outline

#### Background (5 min)

Graph models, subgraph isomorphism, subgraph mining, graph clustering



#### Where we are

#### Background (5 min)

Graph models, subgraph isomorphism, subgraph mining, graph clustering



### Graphs





- Undirected Graphs
  - Co-authorship, Roads, Biological
- Directed graphs
  - Follows, ...
- Labeled Graphs
  - Knowledge graphs, ...
- Probabilistic graphs
  - Causal graphs

#### Graph databases (set of graphs)



Chemical compounds, Business models, 3D objects

#### Graph Isomorphism



Given two graphs,  $G_1: \langle V_1, E_1, l_1 \rangle$ ,  $G_2: \langle V_2, E_2, l_2 \rangle$   $G_1$  is isomorphic  $G_2$  iff exists a **bijective** function  $f: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  s.t.: 1. For each  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $l(v_1) = l(f(v_1))$ 2.  $(v_1, u_1) \in E_1$  iff  $(f(v_1), f(u_1)) \in E_2$ 

#### Subgraph Isomorphism



A graph ,  $Q: \langle V_Q, E_Q, l_Q \rangle$  is subgraph isomorphic to a graph  $G: \langle V, E, l \rangle$  if exists a subgraph  $G' \sqsubseteq G$ , isomorphic to Q

## Frequent Subgraph Mining



Problem Find all subgraphs of G that appear at least  $\sigma$  times

Suppose  $\sigma = 2$ , the frequent subgraphs are (only edge labels)

- a, b, c
- a-a, a-c, b-c, c-c
- a-c-a ...

Exponential number of patterns!!!

#### Graph Clustering and Community Detection Given: graph with r



**Given**: graph with nodes, edges, labels



**Discover**: a partitioning of communities

$$C = \{C_1, C_2, C_3, ..., C_k\}$$

- Optimize a given quality criterion Q(C), e.g. *Modularity* or other measures
- Is an **NP-hard problem** to find the optimal partitioning

### Where we are

#### Background (5 min)

Graph models, subgraph isomorphism, subgraph mining, graph clustering



Exploratory Graph Analysis (35 min)

#### Focused Graph Mining (35 min)



Refinement of Query Results (35 min)



Real World-Use Case (15min)

Linked Data graphs



## **Exploratory Search**

#### Approximate graph Search

- Given an imprecise query find the closest answers to the query
- User perspective: no need to know about the details of the data

#### Searching by Example

- Given a example results, find the other results of an unspecified query
- User perspective: it is not necessary to know how to describe the results

# **Approximate Graph Search**



- Structural mapping: Strong-simulation (Ma et al.)
- Node similarity approaches: P-homomorphism (Fan et al.), Nema (Khan et al.)
- Probabilistic approaches: SLQ (Yang et al.)

## Subgraph isomorphism issues

(Sub)Graph Isomorphism might be too restrictive



## Strong simulation

#### Revise subgraph isomorphism: Instead of bijection, compute a binary relation between nodes



Ma, S., Cao, Y., Fan, W., Huai, J. and Wo, T. Strong simulation: Capturing topology in graph pattern matching. TODS, 2014

# Strong simulation

	Given $Q: \langle V_q, E_q, l_q \rangle$ and data graph $G: \langle V, E, l \rangle$ , a	Graph Simulation
	binary relation $S \subseteq V_q \times V$ is said to be a dual	[Milner 1989]
	simulation if	
	• for each $(u, v) \in S$ , $l(u) = l(v)$	
	• for each $v \in V_Q$ exists a node $u \in V s.t.(v,u) \in S$	
ality	- for each edge $(v, v') \in E_q$ , there exists an edge $(u, u') \in E$ such that $(v', u') \in S$	Parent-child relationship
nd	- for each edge $(v'', v) \in E_q$ , there exists an edge $(u'', u) \in E$ such that $(v'', u'') \in S$	Child-parent relationship

- The matching subgraph is:
- connected graph
- the diameter is not larger than twice the diameter of the query

Ma, S., Cao, Y., Fan, W., Huai, J. and Wo, T. Strong simulation: Capturing topology in graph pattern matching. TODS, 2014

Locality

## Strong simulation



Ma, S., Cao, Y., Fan, W., Huai, J. and Wo, T. Strong simulation: Capturing topology in graph pattern matching. TODS, 2014

## **Properties of Strong Simulation**

If Q matches G, via subgraph isomorphism, then Q matches G, via strong simulation

If Q matches G, via strong simulation, then Q matches G, via dual simulation

If Q matches G, via dual simulation, then Q matches G, via graph simulation



Ma, S., Cao, Y., Fan, W., Huai, J. and Wo, T. Strong simulation: Capturing topology in graph pattern matching. TODS, 2014

# Graph homomorphism

Revise graph homomorphism: match paths



# P-Homomorphism

- Matches paths instead of single edges
- Similarity matrix between nodes M over Q and G, M(u,v) similarity score of node u in Q and v in G.
- Similarity threshold ξ



### 1-1 P-Homomorphism

#### **NP**-complete

#### Injective P-Homomorphism mapping from Q and G



# Queries with (1-1)P-Homomorphism

#### Maximum cardinality problem (CPH)

- Return the (1-1)P-hom mapping ρ with maximum Card(ρ).
- The cardinality of p-hom mapping from a subgraph G' = (V', E',L') of Q to G:
  - $Card(\rho) = |V'|/|V_Q|$

#### Maximum Overall similarity (SPH)

- Return the (1-1)P-hom mapping ρ with maximum Sim(ρ).
- The overall similarity of p-hom mapping from a subgraph G' of Q to G:

Decision problems **NP**-hard for DAGs

# Approximation algorithm for CPH

Algorithm compMaxCard( $G_1, G_2, M, \xi$ )

- Input:  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1, L_1)$ ,  $G_2 = (V_2, E_2, L_2)$ , similarity matrix M, similarity threshold  $\xi$
- Output: a P-hom mapping from subgraph of G<sub>1</sub> to G<sub>2</sub>
- Procedure
  - initialize matching list for each node in G<sub>1</sub>
  - compute the transitive closure of G<sub>2</sub>
  - starting from a match pair, recursively choose and include new matches to the match set until it can no longer be extended, via a greedy strategy.
- Complexity:  $O(|V_1|^3|V_2|^2 + |V_1||E_1||V_2|^3)$

#### P-Hom problems can be solved with a provable performance guarantee

### NeMa

Relax **p-homomorphism**: Structure and some labels are unknown, node closed in the query must be closed in the graph



Khan, A., Wu, Y., Aggarwal, C.C. and Yan, X. Nema: Fast graph search with label similarity. PVLDB, 2013

### NeMa: compute node vectors



Khan, A., Wu, Y., Aggarwal, C.C. and Yan, X. Nema: Fast graph search with label similarity. PVLDB, 2013


**Problem** Given Q and G, find the mapping  $\phi$  with the minimum cost  $C(\phi)$ 

Khan, A., Wu, Y., Aggarwal, C.C. and Yan, X. Nema: Fast graph search with label similarity. PVLDB, 2013

### NemaInfer algorithm

If a node has "good" neighbors, more likely it is a "good" match.



# SLQ

### Similar to **NEMA** Assume that a match is obtained by a sequence of transformations of the query nodes into the graph



### Transformations

Transformation	Category	Example
First/last token	String	Barack Obama -> Obama
Abbreviation	String	Jeffrey Jacob Abrams -> J. J. Abrams
Prefix	String	Engineer-> Eng.
Acronym	String	Microsoft -> MS
Synonym	Semantic	Country -> Nation
Ontology	Semantic	Table -> Furniture
Range	Numeric	~30 -> 33
Distance	Topology	Dallas – USA -> Dallas – Texas - USA

They can be expanded arbitrarily



#### Problem

- How to learn the parameters  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\beta_i$  ?
- How to find the matching with the highest score?

# Querying with SLQ

#### Learning the parameters (offline)

- 1. Random sample a structure from the graph
- 2. Apply random transformations on the found structure
- 3. Search the generated queries on the graphs
- 4. Label the results as positive or negative
- 5. Train a Conditional Random Field on the examples

#### **Query phase**

- 1. Construct a CRF model on the query and matching candidates
- 2. Use Loopy Belief Propagation to find the most likely (top-1) assignment
  - $m_{ji}^{(t)}(u_i) = \max_{u_i} F_V(v_j, u_j) F_E((v_j, v_i), (u_j, u_i)) \prod_{v_k \in N(v_j) \setminus v_i} m_{kj}^{(t-1)}(u_j)$

# **Querying by Example**



Exemplar Queries (Mottin et al.), GQBE (Jayaram et al.)

**NOT** approximate queries:

a result to an approximate query is the closest possible to the query itself

### **Exemplar Queries**

**Input**:  $Q_e$ , an example element of interest **Output**: set of elements in the desired result set

**Exemplar Query Evaluation** 

- evaluate  $Q_e$  in a database D, finding a sample s
- find the set of elements *a* similar to *s* given a *similarity relation*

Mottin, D., Lissandrini, M., Velegrakis, Y. and Palpanas, T. Exemplar queries: Give me an example of what you need. PVLDB 2014

### **Exemplar Queries**



# Computing exemplar queries

### NP-complete (subgraph isomorphism) $O(|V|^4)$ (simulation)



**Pruning technique:** 

 Compute the neighbor labels of each node

 $W_{n,a,i} = \{n_1 | l(n_1, n_2) = a \lor \in N_{i-1}(n)\}$ 

- Prune nodes not matching query nodes neighborhood labels
- Apply the technique iteratively on the query nodes



Mottin, D., Lissandrini, M., Velegrakis, Y. and Palpanas, T. Exemplar queries: Give me an example of what you need. PVLDB 2014

# Computing exemplar queries

### NP-complete (subgraph isomorphism) $O(|V|^4)$ (simulation)



#### **Approximation:**

- Nodes closed to the sample are more important
- Use Personalized PageRank with a weighted matrix

$$\boldsymbol{v} = (1-c)A\boldsymbol{v} + c\boldsymbol{p}$$

 Weight edges using the frequency of the edge-label

$$I(e_{ij}^{\ell}) = I(\ell) = \log \frac{1}{P(\ell)} = -\log P(\ell)$$
$$P(\ell) = \frac{|E^{\ell}|}{|E|}$$

Mottin, D., Lissandrini, M., Velegrakis, Y. and Palpanas, T. Exemplar queries: Give me an example of what you need. PVLDB 2014



#### **Combination of two factors**

- 1. Structural: similarity of two nodes in terms of neighbor relationships
- 2. Distance-based: the PageRank already computed

# Graph query by example (GQBE)

In GQBE Input is a set of (disconnected) entity mention tuples



Jayaram, N., Khan, A., Li, C., Yan, X. and Elmasri, R. Querying knowledge graphs by example entity tuples. TKDE, 2015

# GQBE

#### NP-hard



### 1. Find the maximum query graph

- Graph with m edges having the maximum weight
- 2. Find all the answers subgraph isomorphic to the query graph
- Rank the answers and return the top-k tuples

#### Answer score:

- Sum of query graph weights
- Similarity match between edges in the answer and the query

$$\mathsf{match}(e, e') = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathsf{w}(e)}{|E(u)|} & \text{if } u = f(u) \\ \frac{\mathsf{w}(e)}{|E(v)|} & \text{if } v = f(v) \\ \frac{\mathsf{w}(e)}{\min(|E(u)|, |E(v)|)} & \text{if } u = f(u), v = f(v) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Bonifati, A., Ciucanu, R. and Lemay, A. Learning path queries on graph databases. EDBT 2015.

# Multiple query tuples

GQBE finds answers for multiple query tuples

- 1. Compute a re-weighted union graph of the individual query graphs
- 2. Find answers using a lattice obtained removing edges from the union graph



Bonifati, A., Ciucanu, R. and Lemay, A. Learning path queries on graph databases. EDBT 2015.

### Where we are

### Background (5 min)

Graph models, subgraph isomorphism, subgraph mining, graph clustering

Exploratory Graph Analysis (35 min)

Focused Graph Mining (35 min)



Refinement of Query Results (35 min)



Real World-Use Case (15min)

Linked Data graphs



### Graph Mining – a very broad topic

### **Link Prediction**

### **Community Detection**

**Anomaly Detection** 

Frequent Subgraph Mining

**Graph Partitioning** 

... many more ...

### Graph Mining Focused on User Interest

### We consider "user interest" at a major tool for adaptive graph mining

- In contrast to raw analysis of graphs (i.e. with no or very little user interaction)
- Example (modularity based clustering):

### Given a graph discover best partitioning of the nodes

**Optimize a given quality criterion** Q(C), e.g. *Modularity* or other measures

- Where is the user interest in such definitions?
- How to include the user into the loop?
- How do we need to change the algorithmic search?



# Focus: Given a Set of Query Nodes

Given Q nodes (by the user)

How can we **find the center-piece node** that has direct or indirect connections to all or most of these nodes?

- Neither a clustering of nodes
- Nor the shortest path between pairs of nodes
- Nor any other graph mining method (with lack of user input)

H. Tong & C. Faloutsos: Center-Piece Subgraphs: Problem Definition and Fast Solutions. (KDD 2006)

### CEPS "Center-Piece Subgraph"

|Q| = 2

Only a pair of query nodes



|Q| >> 2

Arbitrary number of query nodes



Relaxation of constraints:

- Must be connected to all nodes in Q
- Connected to at least k nodes out of Q
- •

### **Definition CEPS**

Given an edge-weighted undirected graph and **Q nodes as source queries** 

Find a suitably connected **subgraph H** that contains **all query nodes**, at most some number of other vertices, and **maximizes** a goodness **function g(H)** 

g(H) = 
$$\sum_{j \in H} r(Q, j)$$

- How to define reasonable scores r(Q,j)
- How to quickly find a connected subgraph H that maximizes g(H)

### **Focused Communities:** Given a Set of Seed Nodes

Traditional detection of **communities** as **internally dense subgraphs** (e.g. measured by modularity or conductance)

Given seed nodes (by the user)

Perform selective search for communities local community detection seed set expansion

- Global search is not appropriate for such local/selective models
- Communities may overlap or coincide

C. Staudt, Y. Marrakchi, H. Meyerhenke: Detecting Communities Around Seed Nodes in Complex Networks (BigData 2014)

### **SCD: Selective Community Detection**



Scalability achieved by **greedy community expansion algorithm**. Flexible framework allows instantiations with state-of-the-art algorithms such as PageRank-Nibble [1]

[1] Andersen, Chung, Lang: Local graph partitioning using pagerank vectors. (FOCS 2006)

# Egoistic Focus on Yourself: Ego-Nets

For a given node consider their neighbors and the connections among these neighbors

Compute ego-nets for each given node that is of interest.

Useful for link prediction, community detection, anomaly detection, and many more, as pre-processing (feature extraction).



Epasto et al. Ego-Net Community Mining Applied to Fried Suggestion. (VLDB 2015)

# Attributed and Weighted Graphs

### Several application domains

• Communication networks, co-purchased networks, social networks



Novel challenges and opportunities on attributed graphs Should user interest be modeled as one additional attribute?

### Homophily: Commonly Used Assumption

**Homophily:** *"birds of a feather flock together"* 



Homophily: not fullfilled for all attributes



# Mining Attributed Graphs

Different graph mining techniques

- Clustering / graph partitioning / ...
- Community detection and anomaly detection

Used assumption: **Homophily** has to be fulfilled for **all** the attributes



Problem: disassortative mixing [Newman 2003] hinders the detection of communities (i.e. similarity assessment of nodes)

Solution: Selection of relevant views ensuring homophily

Newman. Mixing patterns in networks. Physical Review, 2003

# Multiple Views in Attributed Graphs

Different structures depending on the subset of attributes



# Multiple Views in Attributed Graphs

Different structures depending on the subset of attributes



# Specialized Approaches

Frequent subgraph mining, subspace clustering ...

- Local selection of the attributes
- Individual subgraphs





## First Idea: Local Context Selection

### **Local Context:**

- Subset of relevant attributes
- Selection w.r.t. a subgraph

How to **define a local context** for each node?

How to **efficiently** select only the **relevant attributes**?



Model dependent solution for community outlier mining

- Statistical test of attribute value distribution for each local context
- Measure deviation of each node w.r.t. its local context only

Iglesias et al. Local Context Selection for Outlier Ranking in Graphs with Multiple Numeric Node Attributes (SSDBM 2014)

### Selection of Congruent Subspaces (ConSub)

### **Definition: Congruent subspaces**

- Mutual similarity between attribute values in subspace S
- Significantly more edges than expected by a random distribution

Constraint Subgraph  $G_{C,S}$ 

• Set of constraints formed by all the pairs  $(I_j = [low_j, high_j], A_j \in S)$ 



Iglesias et al. Statistical Selection of Congruent Subspaces for Mining Attributed Graphs (ICDM 2013)

### Selection of Congruent Subspaces (ConSub)

### **Definition: Congruent subspaces**

- Mutual similarity between attribute values in subspace S
- Significantly more edges than expected by a random distribution

Constraint Subgraph  $G_{C,S}$ 

• Set of constraints formed by all the pairs  $(I_j = [low_j, high_j], A_j \in S)$ 

S ={age,income} nodes with 45 ≤ age ≤ 60 and 1900 ≤ income ≤ 4500





Iglesias et al. Statistical Selection of Congruent Subspaces for Mining Attributed Graphs (ICDM 2013)

### Focus on User Preference

### Examples for user preference:

- attribute weighting
- examples of similar nodes
- some notion of similarity



examples of similar nodes



attribute weighting

# Focused Selection of Subsaces (FocusCO)

### **Decoupled mining** for given user preference

- 1. Infer similarity measure
- 2. Re-weighting of graph edges
- 3. Community detection & community outlier mining



Perozzi et al. Focused Clustering and Outlier Detection in Large Attributed Graphs (KDD 2014)

### Knowledge Discovery by Focused Graph Mining

Example Sociology:

### hypothesis testing vs. hypothesis generation


### Graph Exploration: Taking the user in the Loop Let's break!



# Tutorial outline

### Background (5 min)

Graph models, subgraph isomorphism, subgraph mining, graph clustering



Exploratory Graph Analysis (35 min)

### Focused Graph Mining (35 min)



Refinement of Query Results (35 min)



Real World-Use Case (15min)

Linked Data graphs



Challenges and discussion

# Refinement of Graph Query Results

### **Reformulation and Refinement**

- Generate reformulations (explanations) for query with too-many too few results
- Explain results by providing summaries
- User perspective: even if the query is imprecise the system provides assistance

### Top-k results

- Use user feedback to find the k results with the highest score
- User perspective: the results are potentially the most preferred items

### Skyline queries

# **Reformulation and Refinement**



- Query Reformulation approaches: in Graph Databases (Mottin et al.), in connected networks (Vasilyeva et al.)
- Result summarization approaches: top-k representative (Ranu et al.), keyword induced result summarization (Wu et al.)

# Graph Query Reformulation





# Graph Query Reformulation

#### Problem

Find a set Q of k reformulations that maximize a combination of **coverage** and **diversity** 

$$\begin{split} f(\mathcal{Q}) &= cov(\mathcal{Q}) + \lambda \sum_{Q',Q'' \in \mathcal{Q}} div(Q',Q'') \\ \mathcal{Q}^* &= \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{S}_Q} \quad f(\mathcal{Q}) \\ \text{subject to} \quad |\mathcal{Q}| = k. \end{split}$$

#### **Theorem (NP-hardness)**

The problem reduces to MAX-SUM Diversification Problem, so it is NP-hard



### Why empty, Why so-many answers in graphs



Vasilyeva, E., Thiele, M., Bornhövd, C. and Lehner, W.. Answering "Why Empty?" and "Why So Many?" queries in graph databases. JCSS, 2016

### Why empty, Why so-many answers in graphs



Vasilyeva, E., Thiele, M., Bornhövd, C. and Lehner, W.. Answering "Why Empty?" and "Why So Many?" queries in graph databases. JCSS, 2016

# Why empty, Why so-many answers in graphs



**Output Results** 

Cardinality estimation:

- Frequency of single edges
- Entropy

Generate candidates based on minimal modifications

Vasilyeva, E., Thiele, M., Bornhövd, C. and Lehner, W.. Answering "Why Empty?" and "Why So Many?" queries in graph databases. JCSS, 2016

# Top-k representative queries



Select k=2 relevant objects

Top-2 answer:  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$ 



- Object is *relevant*
- O Object is non-relevant

Two objects are close if they are similar

# Top-k representative queries

Result of a query



Vector graph  $\vec{g}_i$ : vectorial representation of  $G_i$ 

Example: Binding compatibility with m proteins, frequent subgraphs, belonged communities

#### Query: function from $\vec{g}$ to [-1,1], $q: \vec{g} \rightarrow [-1,1]$

Example: Molecules with some properties, graphs with some structure, some community

Top-k Representative queries:  $A = \arg \max_{S} \{\pi_{\theta}(S) | S \subseteq R(q), |S| = k\}$ where R(q) = results of q,  $\pi_{\theta}(S)$ =**representative power** of S, given threshold  $\theta$ 

# Representative power

R(q) = answers to the query

• q : query

### $\theta$ -neighborhood

- $N_{\theta}(G) = \{G' \in R(q) | d(G, G') \le \theta\}$
- $\theta$ : distance threshold
- d(G, G'): graph edit distance



### Given a set of graphs S

• Representative power of S

•  $\pi_{\theta}(S) = \frac{\left|\bigcup_{G \in S} N_{\theta}(G)\right|}{R(q)}$ 

$$\pi(\{G_1, G_3\}) = \frac{7}{8}$$
$$\pi(\{G_1, G_2\}) = \frac{4}{8}$$

# Greedy algorithm

#### **1-1/e-**Approximation



# Summarizing graph results

Query: keyword query on graph

e.g., Jaguar, America, History



Wu, Y., Yang, S., Srivatsa, M., Iyengar, A. and Yan, X. Summarizing answer graphs induced by keyword queries. PVLDB, 2013

# Summarizing graph results



#### Two problems

- 1. Minimum  $\alpha$ -summarization: find the **minimum size** summary which covers at least  $\alpha$
- 2. K-summarization: find K 1-summaries with minimum total size that form a K-partition on the answer graph sets (no repeated answers)

Wu, Y., Yang, S., Srivatsa, M., Iyengar, A. and Yan, X. Summarizing answer graphs induced by keyword queries. PVLDB, 2013

# Summarizing graph results



## Summarizing graph results algorithms

#### **1-summarization**

- 1. Based on dominance relation: a node n1 dominates n2 if they have the same label and each path from a keyword pair that contains n2 also contains n1
- 2. Discover dominance relation and remove dominated nodes until no change

#### $\alpha$ -summarization

- 1. Greedy heuristic: compute 1-summaries for all keyword paths
- 2. Merge summaries with the minimum merge cost (extra edges added)
- 3. Repeat until the desired  $\alpha$  is reached

#### **K**-summarization

- 1. Select K answer graphs as centers
- 2. Refine the clusters merging answer graphs with minimum merge cost until convergence
- 3. Compute 1-summary graphs for each cluster

Wu, Y., Yang, S., Srivatsa, M., Iyengar, A. and Yan, X. Summarizing answer graphs induced by keyword queries. PVLDB, 2013

**NP**-complete

**PTIME** 

**NP**-complete

# **Top-k Results**



- Diversified top-k graph pattern matching (Fan et al.)
- Exploiting relevance feedback in knowledge graph search (Su et al.)
- Top-k interesting subgraph discovery in information networks (Gupta et al.)
- Querying web-scale information networks through bounding matching scores (Jin et al.)

### Diversified top-k graph pattern matching

Query: Find good PM (project manager) candidates collaborated with PRG (programmer), DB (database developer) and ST (software tester).



Find matches using graph simulation, which computes a binary relation on the pattern nodes in Q and their matches in G

Fan, W., Wang, X. and Wu, Y. Diversified top-k graph pattern matching. VLDB, 2013

# Diversified top-k graph pattern matching



#### Pattern Q

- Graph pattern matching revised
  - extend a pattern with a designated output node u<sub>0</sub>
  - matches Q(G): the matches of u<sub>0</sub>
  - readily extends to multiple output nodes
- Problem:
  - Find (diversified) top-K matches for graph pattern matching with a designated output node.

Fan, W., Wang, X. and Wu, Y. Diversified top-k graph pattern matching. VLDB, 2013

## Diversified top-k graph pattern matching



Pattern Q

#### • Relevance

- Relevant set R(u,v) for a match v of a query node u:
- all descendants of v as matches of descendants of u
- a unique, maximum relevance set
- Relevance function
  - The more reachable matches, the better

$$\delta_r(u,v) = |R_{(u,v)}|$$

- Top-k matching:
  - find top-k match set that maximizes total relevance

$$\delta_r(S) = \arg\max_{S' \subseteq M_u(Q,G,u_o), |S'|=k} \sum_{v_i \in S'} \delta_r(u_o, v_i)$$

Fan, W., Wang, X. and Wu, Y. Diversified top-k graph pattern matching. VLDB, 2013

### Match Diversification

Match diversity

• Diversity function: set difference of the relevant set

$$\delta_d(v_1, v_2) = 1 - \frac{|R_{(u,v_1)} \cap R_{(u,v_2)}|}{|R_{(u,v_1)} \cup R_{(u,v_2)}|}$$

Diversification: a bi-criteria combination of both relevance and diversity

$$F(S) = (1 - \lambda) \sum_{v_i \in S} \delta'_r(u_o, v_i) + \frac{2 \cdot \lambda}{k - 1} \sum_{v_i \in S, v_j \in S, i < j} \delta_d(v_i, v_j)$$

- relevance: common neighbors, Jaccard coefficient...
- diversity: neighborhood diversity, distance-based diversity

Diversified Top-k Matching: find a set S of matches for output node

$$F(S) = \argmax_{S' \subseteq M_u(Q,G,u_o)} F(S')$$

### Finding Top-k Diversified Matches

V	R(u₀, v)	δr ()	δd ()	PM1	PM <sub>2</sub>	РМз	PM4
PM1	{PRG1, DB1, ST1, ST2}	4	PM1	0	10/11	1	1
PM2	{PRG4, PRG3, PRG2, DB2, DB3, ST2, ST3, ST4}	8	PM2	10/11	0	1/4	1/4
РМз	{PRG3, PRG2, DB2, DB3, ST3, ST4}	6	РМз	1	1/4	0	0
PM4	{PRG3, PRG2, DB2, DB3, ST3, ST4}	6	PM4	1	1/4	0	0

#### PM1 and PM3 are picked by TopKDiv as top-2 diversified matches. **F()** PM<sub>1</sub> РМз PM<sub>4</sub> PM<sub>2</sub> 1.45 1.45 PM<sub>1</sub> 1.45 1.45 0.89 0.89 PM<sub>2</sub> 0.55 РМз 1.45 0.89 PM<sub>4</sub> 1.45 0.89 0.55 F'(PM1, PM3)=0.5\*(4/11+6/11) + 1 = 1.45



PM1 and PM3 have no descendant matches in common, and influence a large part of the matches.

# Top-k interesting subgraph discovery in information networks

- Given
  - Typed unweighted query
  - A heterogeneous edge-weighted information network
  - Edge interestingness measure
- Find
  - Top-k interesting subgraphs



Gupta, M., Gao, J., Yan, X., Cam, H. and Han, J. Top-k interesting subgraph discovery in information networks. ICDE, 2014

# Top-k interesting subgraph discovery in information networks

- 3 new graph indexes for building a top-k solution
  - Graph topology index
  - Sorted edge lists
  - Graph maximum metapath weight index



Gupta, M., Gao, J., Yan, X., Cam, H. and Han, J. Top-k interesting subgraph discovery in information networks. ICDE, 2014

# **Skyline Queries**

 Prune a search space of large numbers of multidimensional data items to a small set of interesting items



### Solution

 Eliminating items that are dominated by others

- Dynamic skyline queries in large graphs (Zou et al.)
- Efficient subgraph skyline search over large graphs (Zheng et al.)

# Dynamic skyline queries

- Users can specify different sets of query points
- >Offer users more flexibility in specifying their search criteria
- Skylines are dynamically updated
- Naïve approach:
- -Computing all new vectors according to the query points and then searching the skylines over the generated vectors

### Dynamic skyline queries in large graphs



ID	$Dist(v_i, q_1)$	$Dist(v_i, q_2)$
$v_0$	1	1
$v_3$	2	1
$V_4$	3	2

#### Shared Shortest Path (SSP) pruning

 if there exists at least one joint (common) vertex v' among all shortest paths between v and q<sub>I</sub>, v can be pruned safely



Zou, L., Chen, L., Özsu, M.T. and Zhao, D. Dynamic skyline queries in large graphs. DASFAA, 2010

# Where we are

### Background (5 min)

Graph models, subgraph isomorphism, subgraph mining, graph clustering



Exploratory Graph Analysis (35 min)

### Focused Graph Mining (35 min)



Refinement of Query Results (35 min)



Linked Data graphs



Challenges and discussion

# The Web of Data



• 808+ million RDF links between datasets

http://lod-cloud.net

# Vocabularies on the Web of Data

- The Web of Data is heterogeneous
  - Many vocabularies are in use (576 as of October 2016)
  - Many different ways to represent the same information



# **RDF Data Model**



# **RDF Data Model**



# Linked Data exploration use cases

- Dataset exploration
- Graph mining
- Query formulation and refinement
- But Linked Data is messy
### Linked Data graph exploration challenges

- Nested graphs
- Loose structure
- Incomplete
- Poorly formatted
- Inconsistent

- → Makes reasoning difficult
- → Things have different property sets
- → Missing property definitions
- → Property types used inconsistently
- → Multiple representations claim opposite things

#### Linked Data exploration systems timeline



## DBpedia Mobile

- displays Wikipedia data on map
- aggregates different data sources



C. Becker and C. Bizer. DBpedia mobile: A location-enabled linked data browser. LDOW 2008.

## RelFinder



visualization of paths between any 2 entities

path identification on instance level



Heim, P., Hellmann, S., Lehmann, J., Lohmann, S., and Stegemann, T. RelFinder: Revealing Relationships in RDF Knowledge Bases. SAMT 2009.

316,191,000 - Density 34.2/km88.6/sq mi GDP 2012 estimate - Total \$15.653 trillion - Per capita

# gFacet

- Schema explorationcombines graph-based visualization and
  - faceted filtering techniques



P. Heim, T. Ertl, and J. Ziegler. Facet graphs: Complex semantic querying made easy. The Semantic Web: Research and Applications. Springer, 2010.

# graphVizdb

- Graph layout is indexed with a spatial data structure, i.e., an R-tree, and stored in a database
- In runtime, user operations are translated into efficient spatial operations (i.e., window queries) in the backend



Bikakis, N., Liagouris, J., Krommyda, M., Papastefanatos, G. and Sellis, T. graphVizdb: A scalable platform for interactive large graph visualization. ICDE, 2016

graphVizdb

O Node Details

Incoming Edges

rticle-of-journal

rticle-of-iournal

Control

DBLP

Select Dataset

Node Degree

Hide Edge Label

Focus on Node

Edge Label

## LODeX



- Explore a Linked Dataset using a schema summary
- Pick graphical elements from it to create a visual query
- Browse the results
- Refine the query

Benedetti, F., Bergamaschi, S. and Po, L. Lodex: A tool for visual querying linked open data. ISWC, 2015

### Aemoo



Indianapolis Colts
 American Football Team
 Export rdf

The Indianapolis Colts are an American football team based in Indianapolis, Indiana; they play their games in Lucas Oil Stadium. The team is a member of the South Division of the American Football Conference (AFC) in the National Football League (NFL). The Colts were members of the National Football League from their founding and were one of <u>...(go to</u> <u>Wikipedia page</u>)

Explanations: 📀

#### W 1984-97

... The 1985 and 1986 teams combined for only eight wins, including an 0-13 start in 1986 which prompted the firing of head coach Rod Dowhower, who was replaced by **Ron Meyer**. The Colts, however, did receive eventual Hall of Fame running back Eric Dickerson[25] as a result of a trade during the 1987 season, and went on to compile...

Administrative Region Television Show Disease Sport **American Football Player** Office Holder Chris Hinton W Eli Manning W Town Marvin Harrison W loe Namath W American Football Team Indianapolis Colts Joseph Addai W American Football Don Shula W Player Andrew Luck W Stadium Curtis Painter W Peyton Manning W College Coach Archie Manning W American Football League Radio Station City

> Indianapolis Colts

A. Musetti, A. G. Nuzzolese, F. Draicchio, V. Presutti, E. Blomqvist, A. Gangemi, and P. Ciancarini. Aemoo: Exploratory search based on knowledge patterns over the semantic web. Semantic Web Challenge, 2012.

Enable local cache:

Load Tweet: Load Google News:

Exploratory search system based on Encyclopedic Knowledge Patterns

Show Indianapolis Colts's curious links

 EKP are knowledge patterns that define the typical classes used to describe entities of a certain class

Q Blink it!



M. C. Pattuelli, M. Miller, L. Lange, S. Fitzell, and C. Li-Madeo. Crafting linked open data for cultural heritage: Mapping and curation tools for the linked jazz project. Code4Lib Journal, 2013.

## Semantic Wonder Cloud



http://sisinflab.poliba.it/semantic-wonder-cloud/index/

## inWalk



Castano, S., Ferrara, A. and Montanelli, S. inWalk: Interactive and Thematic Walks inside the Web of Data. EDBT, 2014

#### ProLOD++ Mining Graph Patterns on the Web of Data

ProLOD++

- Web framework for various data profiling and mining tasks on Linked Datasets
- Explorative research on Linked Dataset graphs to find
  - frequent graph patterns
  - common graph patterns for classes
  - general graph model for Linked Datasets

#### https://prolod.org

Jentzsch, A., Dullweber, C., Troiano, P., Naumann, F. Exploring Linked Data Graph Structures. ISWC 2015.

#### ProLOD++ Graph pattern mining

Definition of core set of frequent graph patterns in Linked Datasets based on satellite component analysis



Jentzsch, A., Dullweber, C., Troiano, P., Naumann, F. Exploring Linked Data Graph Structures. ISWC 2015.

#### ProLOD++ Graph patterns

- Group class-coloured graphs by their permutation groups [Luks82]
  - Permutation group: the set of all automorphisms of a graph



Jentzsch, A., Dullweber, C., Troiano, P., Naumann, F. Exploring Linked Data Graph Structures. ISWC 2015.

# Loupe

#### Roupe



<u> </u>	
<b>—</b> •	
	Namedgraph E
	0 1





iset Summary	Triple Patterns < subject T	ype , predicate , object Type >		
	Search for patterns in 3	807196 distinct triple patterns		
iss Explorer	Subject Type			
a anti - Francisca a	Type search term .			
berty Explorer	Predicate			
ele Evelener	Type search term .			
pie Explorer	Object Type			
moderanh Evalorer	Type search term .			
	Search			
rology Explorer				
	200 most frequent abs	ract triple patterns		
ovenance	Show 10 🗘 entries			Search:
	Subject	Predicate	Object	♦ Triple Count
	dbo:Agent	dcterms:subject	skos:Concept	10357097 🖙
	dbo:Agent	rdf:type	owl:Class	9316693 🖸
	dbo:Agent	rdfs:label	RDF Literal	3326218 🖸
	dbo:Agent	dbo:abstract	RDF Literal	3097494 🖸
	dbo:Agent	rdfs:comment	RDF Literal	3097494 🖓

Mihindukulasooriya, N., Poveda-Villalón, M., García-Castro, R. and Gómez-Pérez, A. Loupe - An Online Tool for Inspecting Datasets in the Linked Data Cloud. ISWC 2015.

HPI

Frequent triple patterns

Graphical ontology browsing

Dataset: DBpedia (English) (365,101,320 triples) Change

#### Requirements for Linked Data exploratory search systems

- The system provides efficient overviews
- The system helps the user to understand the information space and to shape his mental model
- The user can explore multiple, heterogeneous results and browsing paths
- The system eases the memorization of relevant results
- The system inspires the user and shapes his information need
- The system provokes discoveries

## Challenges

- Displaying the graph for exploration
  - E.g. by clustering of topical domains
  - Allowing the user to drill down
- Live graph exploration
  - E.g. via federated SPARQL queries
    - Requires knowledge on endpoint URIs
    - Slow in real-time
- Guiding the user to interesting parts of the graph
  - Usually done by entity inlinks
    - Limited insights

# Tutorial outline

#### Background (5 min)

Graph models, subgraph isomorphism, subgraph mining, graph clustering



Exploratory Graph Analysis (35 min)

#### Focused Graph Mining (35 min)



Refinement of Query Results (35 min)



Real World-Use Case (15min)

Linked Data graphs



Challenges and discussion

## Summary of Exploratory Graph Analysis

#### **Approximate Queries**

- User query is imprecise
  By-Example methods
- User query is an example result



- Only need a partial knowledge on the data
- No need for complicate query languages (use examples, partial descriptions)
- The query adapts to user need
- Enable exploratory search by using small queries on the data

#### Challenges for Exploratory Graph Analysis



## Summary of Focused Graph Mining

The focus on individual user interest ... as Query to the Graph Mining System ... as Seed Node(s) for Local Search ... as Attributes and Weights

get or infer user interest
 → unexpected results

interactive exploration
 → intuitive parametrization

adaptive graph mining
 → individual local search



# Challenges for Focused Graph Mining

User interactivity in the graph mining process

- unsupported in most of the current graph mining algorithms
- huge variety of user interactions possible
- feedback loop needs to be <u>unified</u> and become <u>exchangeable</u>

Data mining

**Revolution of formal models and search algorithms** 

- insufficient extensions of existing models and algorithms
- <u>adaptive steering</u> of algorithms vs. fixed parametrization
- evaluation of algorithms with <u>user studies</u>



#### Scalability of algorithms for real-time interaction

- NP-hard problems, heuristic algorithms, ..., still not scalable
- exploit the user interest for pruning the search space

## Summary of Refinement of Query Results

#### Refinement

- The user query is too restrictive or too generic
- Top-k Results
- Queries typically have inexact matches
- Skyline Queries
- Find small set of interesting items with many dimensions and incremental updates

- The user might have a very generic idea of how to describe the structure of interest
- The system guides the user towards the answer with simple steps
- The results are explained with reformulations
- The query matches are inexact and interesting

#### Challenges for Refinement of Query Results



## The missing tiles in graph exploration



#### Slides: https://hpi.de//mueller/tutorials/graph-exploration.html